/	
	CEIVED RVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES O	
JAN 1 4 2011	
CLERK US DISTRICT COUR' DISTRICT OF NEVADA	f
BY:	DEPUTY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HOWARD SKOLNIK,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Randal Wiideman filed a complaint in Nevada State Court alleging violations of his constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 which was dismissed for failure to state a claim (docket #12), pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff then moved to vacate the order (docket #15) which was denied (docket #17). Plaintiff continues in his efforts to persuade the Court to reconsider its decision in that he has filed a second motion to vacate (docket #18). Those efforts are not persuasive for the reasons previously stated and the motion shall be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Motion to Vacate Orders (docket #18) is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall not file any other documents in this action save a properly submitted Notice of Appeal, which the Court would consider to be taken in bad faith.

DATED: January 14, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE